

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

			www.dapto.gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/964,846	09/28/2001	Kazuhiro Shibatani	44084-502	2360
	590 10/31/2002			
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY 600 13TH STREET, N.W.			EXAMINER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			BUDD, MARK OSBORNE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
			DATE MAILED: 10/31/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. 964 846	Applicant(s) Shibatani	
**************************************	Examiner M. B.	Group Art Unit	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet b	eneath the correspondence address—	
Period for Reply	2		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleted in the period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, experience to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute.</li> </ul>	ly within the statutory minimexpire SIX (6) MONTHS from	num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication .	
Status			
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•	
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	-		
Disposition of Claims			
Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
Claim(s) /- 1°7	is/are rejected.		
□ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.	
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————			
Application Papers		requirement.	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved	☐ disapproved.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	ed to by the Examiner.		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)			
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the received.	ne priority documents ha	ave been	
<ul> <li>received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number</li> </ul>	metional Dumanu /DOT	Rule 1 7.2(a)).	
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number ☐ received in this national stage application from the Inter-	national Bureau (PCT)		
	·	·	
□ received in this national stage application from the Inter *Certified copies not received:		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
□ received in this national stage application from the Inter *Certified copies not received:		nterview Summary, PTO-413	
□ received in this national stage application from the Inter *Certified copies not received:		nterview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-15	
□ received in this national stage application from the Inter *Certified copies not received:	(s).6(1-72-02)	nterview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-15	

Art Unit: 2834

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Matsuo.

Matsuo teaches the motor structure (e.g. fig 3) and drive circuit (fig 16) using both phase and amplitude control to change the elliptical path to drive the rotor.

Further cited of interest are Mori, Nakahara and Takagi.

M BUDD/pj

10/29/02

RIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 212